<u>Secondary Subdominants</u> Ted Greene – 1975-07-01

You may be wondering if any diatonic major or minor chord may be preceded with a *subdominant* type of chord in its own key (since you spent so much time working with *dominant* types); the answer is yes, but not commonly. For some reason, Baroque composers went wild over *dominant* harmony, and it is relatively rare to see a progression such as IV-I (iv i) or ii-I (ii^{\circ} i) in a temporary new key unless the new I (i) is followed by a dominant type of chord.

Example: C Bb F G C is more rare than C Bb F C7 F G7 C (in Baroque music). IV I IV I V7 I

However, you may wish to try out some of these *Secondary Subdominant* harmonies even without dominants, so here are a few examples that try to illustrate how good voice-leading and lines can help make a progression sound "more Baroque."





If you experiment with the above concepts, you will find that many secondary subdominants are chords that are diatonic in the *home* key, so they will conform to normal sounds that you have experienced so far.

A much more common use of secondary subdominants is as follows:

When any chord is being tonicized with a secondary dominant, the secondary dominant may be preceded with a chord that is functioning as a subdominant in the new key; naturally this subdominant functioning chord is called a *Secondary Subdominant*.

Examples:	Normal Progression: With secondary dominant: With secondary dom. and secondary subdom.:	C C C	F# ^ø 7 ⁶ 5 ii ^ø 7	B7 ⁶ 5 B V7	Em Em Em
	Normal Progression: With secondary dominant:	C C		C7 ⁶ ₅	F F
	With secondary dom. and secondary subdom.:	С	$\mathbf{B}m{b}_6$	C7 ⁶ ₅	F
			IV	V7	Ι

To find out what secondary subdominants are available, you should get acquainted with the "Catalogue of Baroque Harmonies" sheet; as you will notice, there are more subdominant harmonies than any other type, so we are talking about a wealth of rich sounds. As mentioned, though, many of the sounds already have appeared in progressions, so you don't have to be worried about learning thousands of *new* chords – it's not nearly that bad of a situation. In fact, you have already worked with the great majority of these sounds, but as *dominant* functions or diatonic chords.

 $\begin{array}{ccccc} Example: & C & E7^6{}_5 & A7_2 & Dm_6 \\ & II7 & V7 & i \end{array}$

You have already played progressions like this, but it would have been analyzed as:

I V7 of vi V7 of ii ii or I III7 VI7 ii

So all this amounts to is a different *viewpoint* on this progression, not any different *sounds*. You might be saying, "If that is so, then why bother?"

Well, look at it this way: Suppose you had a normal progression of C Am F Dm, etc. Here it is with secondary dominants and subdominants:



You can see that some nice sounds result from this different viewpoint; in other words, different viewpoints inspire different creative ideas and chord progressions. (There is a good chance that you wouldn't have come up with this type of progression unless you were thinking in II7 V7 I(i) groups like these.)

Exercises:

1) It's suggested that you go back to any of the progressions that used secondary dominants and try to squeeze in secondary subdominants where they seem to fit.

2) Then take each type of subdominant harmony listed in the catalogue and make up a few progressions that use it (in different inversions, different keys, etc.) and don't forget that the whole catalogue is applicable to a tonic minor and its related keys if you *renumber* the whole business.

3) A separate list of some progressions using secondary subdominants will follow on the next page; these will all be cycle of 4ths types because they are so common and characteristic of Baroque music.

4) Secondary *dominants* may resolve as in deceptive cadences; this new deceptive chord can: 1) continue in the home key (if possible) or 2) in the intended new key.

Examples: 1) C E7 F G7 C 2) C D7 Em Am_6 G^6_4 D7 G

The new deceptive chord can even pull into a totally different related key:

3) C A7 Bb $Gm_6 F_4^6 C7 F$ I Vof ii VI of ii

Examples 2) & 3) are....[page is cut off]

Catalogue of Common Cycle of 4ths Patterns (Including Secondary Chords) Ted Greene - 1975-07-04 & 10

Give the following progressions a try, starting from all the different forms of close and open triads. These patterns are for sequence type voice-leading, but you might want to try regular voiceleading as well. Not all progressions will sound that great because of some augmented 2nd intervals that will appear in the soprano. Breaking up these patterns and using decorations will help.

Major Keys:

Normal

Ι	IV	viio	iii	vi	ii	V	Ι
Ι	IV	VII	iii	vi	ii	V	Ι
Ι	IV	VII	III	vi	ii	V	Ι
Ι	IV	VII	III	VI	ii	V	Ι
Ι	IV	VII	III	VI	II	V	Ι
Ι	IV	VII	III	vi	Π	V	Ι
Ι	IV	VII	iii	VI	ii	V	Ι
Ι	IV	VII	iii	vi	II	V	Ι
Ι	IV	vii	III	vi	ii	V	Ι
Ι	IV	vii	III	VI	ii	V	Ι
Ι	IV	vii	III	vi	Π	V	Ι
Ι	IV	viio	iii	VI	ii	V	Ι
Ι	IV	viio	iii	vi	Π	V	Ι
Ι	IV	viio	iiiº	VI	ii	V	Ι
Ι	IV	۶VII	iiiº	VI	ii	V	Ι
Ι	IV	¢VII	iiiº	vi	ii	V	Ι

			is optional at the end				
					of minor key cycles		
Minor	Ke	<u>ys</u> :			\mathbf{A}		
normal	i	iv	VII	III	VI ii° V (i)		
	i	iv	VII	III	VI ii V		
	i	iv	VII	III	VI b II V		
	i	iv	VII	III	VI II V		
	i	iv	VII	III	Rvi° ii° V		
	i	iv	bvii	III	VI iiº V		
	i	iv	VII	III	VI iiº V		
	i	iv	VII	III	Rviº ii V		

end

 $[\mathbf{R} = raised]$

- Try replacing i with I. ٠
- Then precede all of these (using I for i) with v or v^o, using substitute voice-leading.
- Next try starting the cycle of 4ths on iv or V using sequence voice-leading. •
- Try replacing IV with #ivoin all of the above. •
- Next try these: •

Ι	#ivo	vii	iii	vi	ii	V	Ι	
Ι	#ivo	vii	iii	VI	ii	V	Ι	
Ι	#ivo	vii	iii	vi	Π	V	I	

Then try preceding *all* of the above with v or V, using substitute voice-leading.

If you start the cycle of 4ths from IV or V using sequence voice-leading, you will notice that vii^o, vii or **b**VII will sound better than VII in many cases (because of the augmented second problem again).

One last thing – you should try similar patterns with 7ths (or 4-note triads) replacing the above triads, or in patterns of your own devising.

Secondary Subdominante 7-1-75 PAGEI you may be wondering if any diatonic major or minor chord may be preceded with a subdominant type of chord in its own key (since you spent somuch time working with tominant types); the answer is yes, but not commonly, to some reason, Baroque composers went wild over dominant harmony and it is relatively have to see a progression such as TFI (ivi) or ii I (iio i) in a temporting new key unless the new I (i) is followed by a dominant type of chord. Example: C B6FGC is more pare than C B6FC7FG7C (in Baroque music), ITI III if you experiment with the above concepts, you will find that many sec. subdom's re chords that are diatonic in the home key, so they will conform to normal sounds that you have experienced so far. A much more common use of secondary subdominante is as follows: When any chord is being tonicized with a secondary dominant, the sec. dom, may be preceded with a chord that is functioning as a subdominant in the new key; naturally this subdom. functioning chord is called a SECONDARY SUBDOMINANT. Examples: nogression: C Em > with SEC. DOM .: C B75 Em > with SEC. DOM .: C F#\$76 B Em Jo find out what secondary subdominants are available, you should get acquainted with the "Catalogue of Baroque Harmonies" sheet; as you will notice, there are more subdominant harmonies than anyother type, so we are talking about a nave applied to progressions, so you don't pave to be worried about a have applied in progressions, so you don't pave to be worried about in fact, you have already worked with the great majority of these sounds, but Example: C ETS A72 Im, t you have already played progressions like this but it would have been an aly gred as I Itogii is or I II II II; so all this amounts to isa deflerent, viewpoint on this progression, not any different sounds. You might be saying "If that is so, then why bother?" Well look at it this way: Suppose you had a normal progression of C Am F Dm etc. Here it is with set don't have it is you have already progression to be with it would have been an aly great on this progression is the sounds. In the saying "If that is so, then why bother?" Well look at it this way: Suppose you had a normal progression of C Am F Dm etc. Here it is with LIV IN I' You can see that some nice sounds result from this different viewpoint. in other words, different viewpoints inspire different creative ideas that chord progressions - (there is a good chance that you wouldn't have come up with this type of progression unless you were thinking in II, I, I (i) groups like these). Dittis suggested that you go back to any of the progressions that used secondary dominants and try to squeene in secondary subdominants where they seem to git. I then take each type of subdominanth armony listed in the catalogue + make up a few progressions that use it (in different inversions, different keys, etc.) and don't forget that the whole catelogue is applicable to a torric minor and its related keys if you renumber the whole business. I a separate list of some progressions using sec. subdom's will follow on the next page these will all be craft of 4ths types because they are so common and characteristic of Baroque music. EXERCISES: Decondary dominante may resolve as in deceptive caderices this new deceptive chordcan: O continue in the home key (is possible) or in the intended new key (is possible) or in the intended new key (is possible) or in the intended new pulling a totally different related key: 3 C AT Bb Gm, F\$ C7F, Examples D+O are

CATALOGUE OF COMMON CYCLE OF 4445 PATTERNS (includ : SECONDARY CHORDS) Tive the following progressions a try starting from all the different forms of close of open triads. These patterns are for SEQUENCE type voice leading, but you might want to try regular voice leading as well. Not all progressions will sound that voice leading as well. Not all propensions will sound that great because of some AUG. 2ND intervals that will appear in the Sopram. Breaking up these patterns and using decoration will help. MAJOR KEYS : MINOR KEYS: NORMAL > I IV VII TIT VI 110 V (i) is optional at the endog JORMAN >I IV VIIO III VI II VI I I I I II II II I I I I I INTITI TIV TI TI TI TI TI TRATIL i N 虹 五 工 工 i iV 亚 田 Rvio iio 工 工工工工工工工 Т Т Т Т Т Т Т Т Т i iv bui II II III II 江西亚亚河 工工工训工工工 TITI i iv 亚亚RVI° ii 工 エエ バゴ エイゴマエ 工工业工工工业 Jay replacing T with I I I WI I VIIII Then precede all of these (using I for i) with V or Vo using substitute I TV VIO III VI II VI TT VII° III VI TTT IT VIIº IIIº IIIII Next try starting the cycle of this on it or I using SEQUENCE voice leading TI PAL III. A IIAT Iny replacing IT with #ivo Next try these: I #ivo vii iii vi ii VI I #INO VII II VI II VI T #ivo vii iii vi I VI then try preceding all of the V or I, using substitute above with from I or I using SEQUENCE Noice leading, for will notice that Vii or VII will sound better than III in many cases (because of the AUF SECOND problem again) (or 4 note triads) replacing the above triads, or in pat